PCOS and Inositols: Controversial Results and Necessary Clarifications. Basic Differences Between D-Chiro and Myo-Inositol
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A NEW APPROACHES IN PCOS TREATMENT

Myo-Inositol (myo-Ins) and its phosphate derivatives—including inositol phosphates (InsPs), inositol pyrophosphates (IPPs) and phosphatidyl-inositol phosphate (PtdIns)—are credited to act as second messengers, which accumulate rapidly and transiently in response to external or endocrine signals, a phenomenon that allows signaling to be discrete and regulated (1, 2). Noticeably, inositol is involved in the transduction of several endocrine signals, including insulin (3, 4), thyroid hormones (5), gonadotropins (6), lipids with hormone-like activity (as prostaglandins) (7), and many other endocrine systems (8). Namely, in the last decade, a growing body of clinical and experimental research provided robust evidence about the efficiency of inositol in reversing a few clinical, metabolic, and endocrine features of the Polycystic Ovary Syndrome (PCOS). Myo-inositol, alone or in combination with its isomer D-Chiro-Inositol (D-Chiro-Ins), showed to exert a variable—albeit significant—effect in improving both symptoms and outcome in PCOS patients (9). Experimental and pilot clinical studies pointed out that a combination of both isomers could provide a reliable rationale for establishing a proper treatment strategy, as first suggested by Beemster’s seminal study (10, 11).

However, the proper formula—i.e., the respective percentage of myo-Ins and D-Chiro-Ins—is still a matter of debate. In several cases, no conclusive insights can be obtained from clinical trials based on unclear rational design, limited number of recruited patients and variable formula composition and dosage(s).

First, it is improper to compare clinical results from studies in which commercial nutraceutical formulas involve a wide range of concentrations (Table 1), with the myo-Ins/D-Chiro-Ins ratio varying implausibly from 0.4:1 to 104:1.

Current commercial preparations also contain D-Chiro-Ins alone at concentrations reaching 600 mg that can be administered once or twice a day. Therefore, the daily dose of D-chiro-Ins, alone...
No plausible hypothesis can justify these bizarre "compositions", and consequently is not surprisingly that so different results have been gathered with nutraceutical supports deprived of any scientific evidence. We would also ask if it is ethical to permit such "drugs", while deceiving consumers, should invade the market, baffling both the Regulatory Agency’s control, as well as common sense. It is also regrettable that in some cases scientific journals have not felt the duty to inform correctly, by underestimating potential conflict of interest and biased methodological approaches (12), thus contributing to worsen the "epidemic" of controversial/irreproducible/biased results that plague current clinical research (13).

Second, several published reports (14) seems to ignore that myo-Ins and D-Chiro-Ins display opposite effects upon ovary and steroidogenesis, despite both improve insulin transduction and glucose utilization, through their inositolphosphoglycans (IPGs) derivatives (15). However important it may be, imbalance in glucose and insulin metabolism cannot explain by itself the overall history of PCOS pathogenesis.

Indeed, some evidence suggests that D-Chiro-Ins can directly regulate steroidogenic enzyme genes in human granulosa cells, reducing the mRNA expression of both aromatase and cytochrome P450 side-chain cleavage genes in a dose–response fashion (16). Furthermore, D-Chiro-Ins increases testosterone levels in theca cells from PCOS women (17). Those data should have suggested caution in treating PCOS women with high doses of D-Chiro-Ins. Indeed, despite the promising results obtained in a pivotal study (18), a subsequent investigation using high D-Chiro-Ins dosages was unable to confirm those preliminary results and was discontinued (19).

On the other hand, myo-Ins participates in the modulation of the FSH signaling, as suggested by clinical and experimental data. Indeed, upon myo-Ins treatment, LH/FSH ratio in plasma of PCOS women significantly decreases (20–22), while in vitro fertilization myo-Ins supplementation allows to significantly reduce the amount of recombinant FSH administered (6, 23). In turn, FSH stimulates aromatase synthesis, a critical step in androgen conversion to estrogens and in terminal follicle maturation (24). It is worth noting that downregulation of FSH and subsequent dramatic decrease in aromatase synthetized by granulosa cells constitute a hallmark of PCOS (25). Noticeably, while D-Chiro-Ins inhibits aromatase as previously recalled, myo-Ins seems to enhance aromatase synthesis in granulosa as well as in endocrine-responsive breast cancer, probably acting also through a direct genomic modulation, given that in breast cancer cell the observed increase in aromatase is independent from FSH stimulation (26). Moreover, myo-Ins can modulate steroidogenesis in the ovary by exerting complex effects upon cytoskeleton architecture (27). Therefore, it is not surprising that in physiological homeostatic conditions, the myo-Ins/D-Chiro-Ins ratio in ovarian tissues is kept within the range of 70–00:1, while in ovaries from PCOS women this ratio is pathologically decreased (28, 29). Conversely, high levels of D-Chiro-Ins show detrimental effects upon blastocyst quality (30). An appreciable step forward in understanding the tricky interplay in between myo-Ins and D-Chiro-Ins in modulating the ovarian physiology has been carried out by Bevilacqua et al. in a recent paper in which the effectiveness of different myo-Ins/D-Chiro-Ins formulas was experimentally addressed in PCOS mice (31). This study provided the first experimental evidence of the different efficacy exerted by various myo-Ins/D-Chiro-Ins ratios (5:1; 20:1; 40:1; 80:1) in restoring a normal phenotype. Mice treated daily with 420 mg/kg myo-Ins/D-Chiro-Ins in a 40:1 molar ratio made a fast and almost full recovery from PCOS signs and symptoms. On the contrary, the other myo-Ins/D-Chiro-Ins ratios were less effective or had even negative effects. In particular, the formulation with higher D-Chiro-Ins content proved to worsen the PCOS pathological features. Some clinical studies have recently confirmed these results in PCOS women (32), thus vindicating the keen insight first advanced by Unfer (33, 34).

Furthermore, administration of high concentrations of D-Chiro-Ins can have not only detrimental effects upon follicle functions but can also impair myo-Ins availability. A recent study by Garzon et al. showed that 1 g D-Chiro-Ins significantly reduces the intestinal absorption of 6 g myo-Ins (35). The same effect can be obtained by administering maltodextrin, sorbitol (36) or phlorizin (37), a non-transported competitive inhibitor of sodium-coupled sugar co-transporters. In the study by Garzon et al. (35), performed in female and male volunteers, the plasma myo-Ins/D-Chiro-Ins ratio was 6:1, which is strongly in favor of D-Chiro-Ins, when compared to the physiological value (40:1) (38).

This effect can be explained by hypothesizing a competitive action upon inositol transporter. In fact, SMIT2 transports myo-Ins with an average $K_M$ of 120–150 μM, with good agreement with plasma levels of myo-Ins (32.5 ± 1.5 μM, ranging from 26.8 to 43.0 μM) (39). D-Chiro-Ins is transported with an average $K_M$ values.
of 110–130 μM, similar to myo-Ins; nevertheless, the average plasma concentration of D-Chiro-Ins is usually less than 100 nM and hence it is unlikely that it can interfere with myo-Ins absorption under normal conditions (40). Moreover, this observation implies that in the physiological setting SMIT2-based transport is only marginally committed in ensuring D-Chiro-Ins absorption, and D-Chiro-Ins could hardly impair myo-Ins uptake. However, when D-Chiro-Ins is administered at high dosage (i.e., ≥1 g), it could efficiently compete with myo-Ins for absorption at the gut level, thus decreasing the myo-Ins/D-Chiro-Ins ratio in plasma. Conversely, while pharmaceutical formulas in the physiological ratio of 40:1 are unlikely to impair myo-Ins uptake, other studies in which high D-Chiro-Ins concentrations were used can significantly reduce myo-Ins bioavailability.

The unexpected competitive inhibition exerted by D-Chiro-Ins as well by other small sugar-like molecules may help explaining the phenomenon of the so-called “inositol resistance”—highlighted by several clinical trials—that could account for 30–40% of inositol failure in PCOS treatment (41). Resistance can be ascribed to insufficient inositol availability, as suggested by studies in which the intestinal absorption of inositols significantly increases in humans upon co-administration of α-lactalbumin that reversibly opens the tight junctions (42). Noticeably, the association of myo-Ins with α-lactalbumin demonstrated to be beneficial in restoring ovulation and in improving PCOS features by counteracting “inositol resistance” in a group of PCOS women (43). This study confirms how relevant could be achieving high plasma levels of myo-Ins in the therapeutic management of PCOS.

At the very beginning, studies on inositol in PCOS management were mostly influenced by metabolic considerations, given that D-Chiro-Ins is required to allow insulin transduction (44). It should be recalled that myo-Ins epimerization into D-Chiro-Ins is under the control of insulin, which tightly modulate the conversion rate according to the tissues needs (45). Noteworthy, both insulin resistance and diabetes type 2 have been associated with reduced availability of D-Chiro-Ins, suggesting that it should act as insulin second messenger and insulin-sensitizing agent (46).

The highest conversion rate of myo-Ins into D-Chiro-Ins is close to 9%, and was detected in liver and muscle, two important insulin sensitive areas, whereas in heart and brain is less than 2% (47). Remarkably, in biological fluids the myo-Ins/D-Chiro-Ins ratio ranges from 40:1, in plasma, to 100:1 in follicular fluid (28). Differences in in the ratio values highlight that tissues show a significant differential needs of both isomers. Insulin resistance can dramatically impair D-Chiro-Ins levels in many tissues, with resulting low intracellular levels of D-Chiro-Ins (48). Conversely, restoring D-Chiro-Ins levels proved to be beneficial in ameliorating diabetes-related metabolic features, and in reducing the insulin stimulation upon theca cells and, hence, the consequent insulin-dependent enhancement of androgenesis (49), given that insulin-dependent stimulation of ovarian epimerase will be appreciably tempered upon D-Chiro-Ins supplementation. However, D-Chiro-Ins administration exceeding the need to mitigate insulin secretion would likely impair ovary function by dramatically decreasing myo-Ins levels in the ovary, as demonstrated by Larner’s seminal paper (29). However, this “metabolic” model is biased by the assumption that PCOS pathogenesis mostly relies upon a metabolic “defect” (i.e., defective insulin transduction due to impaired availability of inositolophosphoglycans) and underestimates the steroidogenic effects directly triggered by myo-Ins and especially by D-Chiro-Ins. Exceeding doses of D-Chiro-Ins may indeed enhance androgenesis and hamper aromatase synthesis, as previously mentioned. Probably, the role of insulin resistance in underpinning PCOS pathogenesis has been too much emphasized since the first report in which a link was hypothesized in between PCOS and defects in insulin/glucose metabolism (50). Yet, the whole evidence related to D-Chiro-Ins is still scarce and should be urgently updated through focused investigations, namely by ascertaining the different evolution of PCOS associated with mixed insulin resistance compared to PCOS with exclusively muscular or exclusively hepatic insulin resistance (51).

**THE RIGHT FORMULA**

Overall, those data suggest that the choice of the respective concentrations of the two isomers should be carefully weighted and not left to improvisation. Namely, if the aim pursued is that of improving the ovary responsiveness to the FSH-Aromatase endocrine axis—as is the case in PCOS management—high dosages of D-Chiro-Ins should be avoided. After all, the fact that D-Chiro-Ins is usually excreted in urine in large amounts, showing a higher urinary D-Chiro-Ins/myo-Ins ratio, seems to suggest that kidney can selectively concentrates and then excretes D-Chiro-Ins in excess, which can be potentially harmful (47). Different D-Chiro-Ins dosages can be eventually conceived (and properly tested) in specific pathological conditions (anti-estrogenic treatments, diabetes)?, with the aim to primarily inhibit aromatase activity or foster insulin activity in non-ovarian tissues (52). However, it should be borne in mind that high dosages of D-Chiro-Ins should be avoided in PCOS patients. Instead, their administration can be useful if the therapeutic goal is to reduce aromatase activity and/or increase testosterone levels.

It is increasingly appreciated that PCOS may assume different clinical phenotypes and consequently proper assessment of the biochemical and medical features of each patho-phenotypes are required to adopt the best effective therapeutic strategy (53). Accordingly, it can be surmised that inositol-based treatments should be tailored for those specific clinical PCOS phenotypes for which a robust evidence has been provided.

Thereby, future studies are mandatory to ascertain the molecular basis of inositol activity upon ovarian cells and to investigate the beneficial effects of an appropriate myo-Ins/D-Chiro-Ins formula on larger cohorts of patients and on different PCOS phenotypes, besides promising results have been hitherto obtained with pharmacological formulas in which the myo-Ins/D-Chiro-Ins ratio is set at 40:1, according to the physiological...
plasma value (54). For now, empirical solutions, based on inappropriate pathogenic models and baseless statements, should be mandatorily avoided.
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